Data & HPC-driven discovery of novel materials for nanotechnology and sustainable energy

> Kristian S. Thygesen The CAMD section Technical University of Denmark thygesen@fysik.dtu.dk





### Electronic structure calculations



#### What?

Solve Schrödinger's equation for the motion of electrons in a solid/molecule.

$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(\{\boldsymbol{R}_i\};\boldsymbol{x})\right]\Psi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = E_n\Psi_n(\boldsymbol{x})$$

#### Why?

The electrons determine everything

- Chemistry (bonds, chemical reactions, ...)
- Thermodynamics (heat capacity, phase diagrams, ...)
- Structure and ion dynamics (phonons, ...)
- Physical properties (magnetism, conductivity, ...)



### Electronic structure calculations



#### What?

Solve Schrödinger's equation for the motion of electrons in a solid/molecule.

$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(\{\boldsymbol{R}_i\};\boldsymbol{x})\right]\Psi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = E_n\Psi_n(\boldsymbol{x})$$

#### Why?

The electrons determine everything

- Chemistry (bonds, chemical reactions, ...)
- Thermodynamics (heat capacity, phase diagrams, ...)
- Structure and ion dynamics (phonons, ...)
- Physical properties (magnetism, conductivity, ...)

No parameters. Only laws of nature and fundamental constants. *Ab initio / first-principles* 

# The open source GPAW code

Enkovaara *et al.* J. Phys.:Cond. Mat. **22** (2010) ← **Review article** 

- □ Projector augmented wave (PAW)
- □ Three different basis sets (real space, plane waves, LCAO)
- Efficient parallelization (good scalability up to > 100.000 CPU cores)
- □ Time-dependent DFT (linear response + time propagation)
- □ Many-body perturbation theory
- Phonons and electron-phonon coupling
- □ Advanced magnetic properties
- GPU version under development





Jens Jørgen Mortensen

# The Niflheim supercomputer



- CPU cores: 24560
- Peak performance: 1.8 PetaFlops
- Co-funded 1:1 by DTU until 2020
- Capacity/2 year: 350 mio core/h
- Investment: DKK 30M over 6 years



#### DeiC resources available (2 year grant period):

Center	Unit	Resource
<b>DeiC Interactive HPC - CPU</b>	CPU core/h	14,716,800
DeiC Interactive HPC – GPU	GPU core/h	705,100
<b>DeiC Interactive HPC – Storage</b>	ТВ	1,000
DeiC Throughput HPC	CPU core/h	63,437,500
DeiC Throughput HPC – storage	ТВ	3,900
DeiC Large Memory HPC	CPU core/h	6,377,200
DeiC Large Mem. HPC – Storage	ТВ	930
	1	
LUMI-C	CPU core/h	53,556,000
LUMI-G	GPU core/h	2,789,300
LUMI Storage	тв/н	26,558,800

#### Photo-catalytic water splitting

 $\mathbf{2} h v + \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{O}_{(\text{liq})} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{O}_{2(\text{gas})} + \mathbf{H}_{2(\text{gas})}$ Efficient water splitting requires an absorber material with a band gap  $\sim 2 \text{ eV}$ Minimum energy required = 1.23 eV =Co-catalyst  $O_2$ HOTO-ELECTROCATALY H<sup>+</sup>  $H_2O$  $H_{2}$ METHANOL ETHANOL HYDROGEN HYDROCARBONS AMMONIA

### ABS<sub>3</sub> sulphide perovskites





#### Six most common ABS<sub>3</sub> crystal structures



**BaNiO**<sub>3</sub>, hexagonal (P6<sub>3</sub>/mmc)



**YScS**<sub>2</sub>, orthorhombic (Pna2<sub>1</sub>)



 $SrZrS_3$ , orthorhombic (Pnma)  $NH_4CdCl_3/Sn_2S_3$  (Yellow phase of CsSnl\_3)



FePS<sub>3</sub>, monoclinic (c12/m1)



 $SrZrS_3$ , orthorhombic (Pnma)  $GdFeO_3$  (Black phase of CsSnl<sub>3</sub>)



**PbPS**<sub>3</sub>, monoclinic (P1c1)

#### **Computational screening**



**Best candidate materials** 

formula	$\mathbf{E}_{g}^{GLLB-SC}$	$\mathbf{E}_{g(direct)}^{GLLB-SC}$	$\mathbf{E}_{g}^{HSE06}$	$m^*{}_h$	$m^*_e$	prototype
$AlLaS_3$	1.67	1.67	1.47	-0.337	0.489	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$BaHfS_3$	2.32	2.32	2.20	-0.255	0.414	$SrZrS_3(B)$
$BaZrS_3$	2.25	2.25	2.08	-0.749	0.426	$SrZrS_3(B)$
$\mathbf{BiLiS}_3$	1.13	1.43	1.08	-0.209	0.455	$\mathrm{FePS}_3$
$\operatorname{BiScS}_3$	2.45	2.64	2.62	-0.318	0.520	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$BiTlS_3$	1.36	1.98	1.30	-0.636	0.309	$\mathrm{FePS}_3$
$\mathrm{HfGeS}_3$	1.70	1.73	1.68	-0.568	0.256	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$\mathrm{HfPbS}_3$	2.11	2.24	1.96	-0.396	0.538	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$HfSnS_3$	1.53	1.57	1.53	-0.408	0.270	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$\mathbf{HfZnS}_{3}$	2.03	2.47	1.98	-0.173	0.431	$\mathrm{FePS}_3$
$LaSbS_3$	1.23	1.23	0.99	-0.439	0.167	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$MgZrS_3$	2.21	2.32	2.06	-0.718	0.779	distorted
$PbZrS_3$	1.68	1.91	1.66	-0.434	0.525	$SrZrS_3(B)$
$\mathbf{ScSbS}_3$	2.35	2.43	1.99	-0.502	0.258	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$\mathrm{SnZrS}_3$	1.76	1.98	1.56	-0.488	0.802	$PbPS_3$
$SrZrS_3$	2.49	2.49	2.30	-0.768	0.496	$SrZrS_3(B)$
$TaLiS_3$	1.98	2.00	2.06	-0.755	0.985	$\mathrm{FePS}_3$
$TlScS_3$	1.60	1.76	1.62	-0.377	0.685	$YScS_3$
$YBiS_3$	2.17	2.24	2.04	-0.428	0.488	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$\mathbf{YLaS}_3$	1.87	1.87	1.57	-0.509	0.438	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$ZrBaS_3$	1.69	1.96	1.62	-0.453	0.279	distorted
$ZrBaS_3$	1.79	1.79	1.54	-0.402	0.413	$SrZrS_3(Y)$
$ZrZnS_3$	1.91	1.97	1.87	-0.616	0.427	$FePS_3$

Kuhar, Thygesen, Jacobsen et al. Energy Env. Sci. 10, 2579 (2019)

### Synthesis of LaYS<sub>3</sub>



Intensity (arb. units)

- XRD confirms the structure
- Optical absorption confirms the band gap of 2.0 eV
- PL confirms direct gap and absence of defects



# TaskBlaster: Python framework for workflows



# Scaling of TaskBlaster

- Demonstrated scaling of TaskBlaster to the entire LUMI supercomputer (g-partition).
- Running ca. 14000 GPAW-GPU calculations concurrently.
- Not trivial! Experience used to develop a LUMI-compatible version of ASR



- Approx. 10k GPUs
- 375 petaflops (or 1.5 mio. laptops)
- #3 in the world
- Operated by CSC, Finland

### Atomically thin two-dimensional materials

Mounet et al. Nature Nanotechn. 13, 246 (2018)

# Graphene – the wonder material

Massless particle:



Particle with mass:

Electrons in graphene move as if their mass was zero!

- Almost transparent (absorbs ca 2% light)
- Best electrical conductor
- Strongest material (100 x steel)
- Extremely flexible
- Exotic physics



Nobel Prize in physics 2010



A. Geim







## Deep generative models

#### Artistic style transfer



#### Natural language processing



Image generation



Boris Eldagsen refuses to receive Sony World Photography Award for this AI-generated image

## Deep generative models

#### Variational autoencoder



Input, x, is encoded as a normal distributions,  $N(\mu_x, \sigma_x)$ , over latent space



75-

#### **Projection of the space of 2D materials**



## CAMD section members



**Kristian Thygesen** Prof. Head of section



Jakob Schiøtz Professor



Karsten Jacobsen Professor



Thomas Olsen Assoc. Professor



Jens Jørgen Mortensen Software developer



**Ole Holm Nielsen** Senior HPC officer



European Research Council







